

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ſ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/510,420	10/06/2004	Roberto Rahn	GK-SUS-107/500711.20007	8850
	²⁶⁴¹⁸ REED SMITH,	7590 02/12/200		EXAM	IINER
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650				HURLEY, SHAUN R	
			LOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	···			3765	
_					
	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
_	3 MO	NTHS	02/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/510,420	RAHN, ROBERTO	RAHN, ROBERTO		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>		
	Shaun R. Hurley	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	s		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 August 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 40-74 is/are pending in the applitude 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 40,42-48,61 and 63-66 is/are rejected for estriction and 53-66 is/are rejected for estriction and	ected. jected to. and/or election requirement. aminer. s/are: a) accepted or b) accepted or b) accepted in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/04/05.	(8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/510,420

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because there are illegible, with faded and poorly drawn lines. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet <u>within the range of 50 to 150 words</u>. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,420

Art Unit: 3765

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Page 3

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Paragraph 8, as well as Paragraph 21, the recitation of specific claim numbers is improper. Applicant is advised that during prosecution of an application the number of claims can change. In the instant application, claims 1 and 29 no longer exist. As such, Applicant should delete the specific references from the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "a stationary pairs" reads awkwardly. Appropriate correction is required. Claim 63 is objected to because claim 40 fails to teach a detection device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 42, 44, 63, and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Regarding claims 63 and 71, the phrase "particularly" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 8. Regarding claims 42 and 44, the phrase "(flawless material web or correctly positioned supply, respectively)" is not understood by Examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,420

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 4

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 40, 42-44, and 63-65, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abba et al (6735933).

Abba teaches a device for correcting a flexible material web (Figure 2) comprising a rotatable pair of cylinders (Figure 3) driven by servomotors arranged on a rotating device fixed on a frame for turning the web 180 degrees, as well as stationary pairs of rollers (61, 63) on either side of the rotatable pair, wherein the rollers can have an open or closed position (roller width is adjustable), and the rotatable pair can be displaced along the frame (anything can inherently be displaced). Abba also teaches the method of using such a device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 45-48, 61, and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abba in view of Kranich et al (7065948).

Abba essentially teaches the invention as discussed above, but fails to specifically teach the use of multiple detection devices around a rotatable roller pair to send signals to the

servomotor to rotate the roller pair, which Kranich teaches (Figures 1 and 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to utilize such detection devices, so as to ensure the rotation of the roller pair in an expedited manner, so as to reduce wasted product by failure to rotate the web. The ordinarily skilled artisan would have understood this benefit and known to use such detection devices.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 41, 49-60, 62, and 67-74 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Todd (5315461), Birkmair et al (5108022), Strickland (3285446), Wright (133), and Wright (1178566) all teach what is well known in the art.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shaun R. Hurley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4986. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:30 am - 3:00 pm, off second Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shaun R Hurley

Page 6

Examiner Art Unit 3765

SRH

01 February 20007